

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. · | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/559,763 | 12/07/2005 | Satoru Shiraki | 126120 | 7522 |
| 25944 OLIFF & BER P.O. BOX 1992 | 28 | EXAMINER JÄGAN, MIRELLYS | | |
| ALEXANDRIA | A, VA 22320 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2859 | |
| | | V | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | · | 09/28/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| | | 10/559,763 | SHIRAKI ET AL. | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | <u> </u> |
| | | Mirellys Jagan | 2859 | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication apports | ears on the cover sheet | vith the correspondence addres | s |
| A SH WHIO - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any | IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become | IICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication (ABANDONED) (35 U.S.C. § 133). | · |
| Status | | | | |
| 2a) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | action is non-final. nce except for formal ma | | rits is |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-8 and 10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 9 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | wn from consideration. | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | |
| 10)⊠ | The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 December 2005</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | re: a) accepted or b) [drawing(s) be held in abeyation is required if the drawing) | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1 | .121(d). |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No In received in this National Sta | ge |
| Attachme | | | | |
| 2) Noti 3) Info | ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 6/4/07, 12/7/05. | Paper N | v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-10 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, it is not clear how the sensor cover is 'integrated' with the cap and the neck part since the sensor cover comprises the cap and the neck parts (see lines 8-9). Furthermore, it is not clear if the claim is positively claiming that the lead pair is drawn out of the cap part (see last line).

In claim 6, it is not clear if the 'sensing part' claimed in line 2 is referring to the holder of the temperature detecting device, or if it is referring to another part of the temperature sensor.

For purposes of examination, the 'sensing part' is considered to be the holder, and the 'device protecting part' is considered to be the resin.

In claim 9, it is not clear what is means by the 'device protecting part' in view of the objection to claim 6 stated above. For purposes of examination, the claim has not been treated on the merits.

Claims 2-5, 7, 8, and 10 are objected to for being dependent on an objected base claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2859

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-3, 5-8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0058920 to Lyle in view of U.S. Patent 5,733,044 to Rose et al [hereinafter Rose].

Lyle discloses a temperature sensor for an engine system, the temperature sensor comprising:

a bottomed tubular holder/sensing part (22) having an opening;

a temperature detecting device (36), contained in a bottom part of the holder, having a lead pair (37) connected thereto so as to be introduced from the opening side;

a filler resin part/device protecting part (polyphenylene sulfide resin 40) filling the holder so as to seal the temperature detecting device and extending to the opening; and

a guide part (above 22), projecting from an edge of the opening in the holder, guiding the leads constituting the lead pair;

wherein the resin is made of one kind of resin (see figure 2).

Lyle does not disclose the sensor comprising a sensor cover comprising a cap part covering the whole opening and a neck part extending to the outside of the cap part along an outer peripheral face of the lead pair drawn out of the cap part, the sensor cover covering the guide part, and the guide part having a T-shaped form including a part extending in a direction perpendicular to an extending direction of the holder and a part extending parallel to the extending direction of the holder; and the resin being made of different resins.

Application/Control Number: 10/559,763

Art Unit: 2859

However, Rose discloses a temperature sensor comprising a temperature sensing device in a housing, wherein the housing has a plastic sensor cover comprising a cap part (261) covering the whole opening, and a neck part (16/17) extending to the outside of the cap part along an outer peripheral face of a lead pair of the sensing device when drawn out of the cap part. The sensor cover provides a means of securely attaching the housing to an automotive engine system whose temperature is being measured (see figures 1 and 2).

Therefore, referring to claim 1, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the temperature sensor of Lyle by adding a cap to the housing as taught by Rose in order to securely attach the temperature sensor to the part of the engine system whose temperature is being measured.

Referring to claim 2, by adding the cover taught by Rose to the temperature sensor of Lyle, the cover with also cover the guide part.

Referring to claim 3, the shape of the guide part claimed by applicant, i.e., T-shaped, is only considered to be obvious modifications of the shape or configuration of the guide part disclosed by Lyle as the courts have held that a change in shape or configuration without any criticality is within the level of skill in the art since the particular shape claimed is nothing more than one of numerous shapes that a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been able to provide using routine experimentation based on its suitability for the intended use of the invention. See In re Dailey, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1976). Hence, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the guide part of Lyle by making it T-shaped so as to provide a desired shape that will guide the leads and keep them separated from each other.

Referring to claim 5, the manner of forming the cover is not germane to the issue of patentability of the apparatus itself. Therefore, this limitation has not been given patentable weight.

Referring to claim 7, the particular type of material used to make the resin, i.e., different kinds of resins, is only considered to be the use of a preferred or optimum material out of a plurality of well known materials that a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been able to provide based on the intended use of applicant's apparatus, i.e., suitability for the intended use of applicant's apparatus. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the sensor disclosed by Lyle and Rose by making the resin of different kinds of resins so as to seal the sensor in the housing, and to provide the necessary thermal conductivity to the sensor to satisfy the desired accuracy of the device, as suggested by Lyle, e.g., paragraph 22, last 4 lines. See In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960), where the courts held that a selection of a material on the basis of suitability for intended use of an apparatus would be entirely obvious.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lyle and Rose, as applied to claims 1-3, 5-8, and 10 above, and further in view of JP 11-023379 to Morishita et al [hereinafter Morishita].

Lyle and Rose disclose a sensor having all of the limitations of claim 4, as stated above in paragraph 3, but are silent as to the manner in which the cover is engaged with the housing, and therefore, do not explicitly disclose an edge of the opening of the holder being formed with a

substantially annular hook part projecting to the outside of the holder, wherein the hook part engages at least a part of the sensor cover.

However, Morishita discloses that a cover can be attached to a temperature sensor housing by forming a substantially annular hook part on the housing projecting to the outside of the holder for engagement with the cover. Such engagement means allow the cover to be removably attached to the housing (see figure 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the sensor of Lyle and Rose by adding hooks to the housing in order to removably attach the cover, as suggested by Morishita. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the sensor of Lyle and Rose by placing the hooks on an edge of the opening of the holder since the location of the hooks claimed by applicant is considered to be nothing more than a design choice since this location is nothing more than one of numerous locations on the housing that a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been able to provide using routine experimentation in order to removably attach the cover to the housing as already suggested by Morishita.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following references disclose a temperature sensor housing:

- U.S. Patent 7,028,568 to Tokunaga et al
- U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0264544 to Fischer et al

Application/Control Number: 10/559,763

Art Unit: 2859

U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0172259 to Bach

U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0101031 to Kotwicki.

U.S. Patent 6,045,261 to Rossum et al

U.S. Patent 4,560,973 to Grimm et al

The following references disclose a temperature sensor:

U.S. Patent 6,918,696 to Hoshisashi et al

U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0081225 to Janicek

U.S. Patent 5,481,240 to Fukaya et al

JP 61142430 to Shibuya et al

JP 58158531 to Iizuka

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mirellys Jagan whose telephone number is 571-272-2247. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 12PM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Diego Gutierrez can be reached on 571-272-2245. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MJ

September 25, 2007

D-8

Diego Gutierrez
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

Page 7